

**Minutes of the meeting held 25 January, 2016 at War Memorial Hall, Cleeve Prior**

Present: Councillors: P Howe (Chair), B Rhodes, S Robinson, R Lasota & H Stuart-Davies

In Attendance: S Arble (Clerk) and 13 members of the public.

391 **The Council resolved** to accept apologies from Cllrs Brown & Turner.

392 No interests declared.

393 No dispensation requests received.

394 **The Council resolved** to approve the **MINUTES** of the meeting held 9 December 2015 at War Memorial Hall, Cleeve Prior. Cllr Lasota was concerned as to the date of the February meeting, but no amendments suggested.

395 Due to requests from the public, the Chairman moved to hold Public Question Time after the Council discussed the NDP Policy 11 Consultation.

396 The Council discussed the Draft CP11 Survey. Several cllrs believed the survey to be too long, the photos misleading, the pros and cons section unnecessary, too much bias, too much conjecture and the voting page unclear. Chair Howe generally disagreed with these points and further stated that the current draft is evidence based from data gathered over a 3 year period.

After reviewing the correspondence one cllr didn't believe the current voting options would meet with approval from Tetlow King. He also stated that 8 pitches on the Public Site could have as many as 16-20 caravans and that the same would be true of the private site.

Cllr Robinson presented an alternative Draft CP11 Survey which was shorter than the original and included a voting page with two options instead of three.

397 **Chairman moved: The meeting now adjourned to hold Public Question Time, notes of which are at the end of the minutes. The meeting was adjourned from 9:05pm until 9:35pm.**

**NEW AGENDA ITEMS**

398 **The Council resolved** to adopt the two option voting page as presented by Cllr Robinson. The voting page to be as follows:

1. I am in favour of the development on the Gypsy/Traveller site of the sizes proposed by Tetlow King.

2. I wish there to be no development on the Gypsy/Traveller site of the size indicated by Tetlow King.

If you are in favour of the development as proposed by Tetlow King, please indicate your preferred build size

Option A: 94 dwellings

Option B: 62 dwellings

There will also to be a comment box.

398 **The Council resolved** to delete the following from the Draft CP11 Survey:

A. Under Summary on page 1 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; the final two sentences starting "The site currently..."

B. Under Developments on page 1 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; "also to the Parish Council"

C. Under Local Housing Needs on page 2 3<sup>rd</sup> bulletin point; "No guarantees were...."

D. Under Local Housing Needs on page 2 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; ".....however some residents..."

E. Pros & Cons For/Against Development and related paragraph 1 on page 2; "A number of points for..."

F. Page 3 Under Option C: should reflect the language used on revised voting page; "I wish there to be no development...." Exact wording to be determined by email communication.

G. All the current photos.

399 Subject to copyright laws, **the Council resolved** to use the aerial photo presented by Cllr Robinson; to be placed on page 1.

400 **The Council resolved** to amend Draft CP11 Survey under Next Steps by adding "and Parish Council" in the first sentence; "the NDP team **and Parish Council** will..."

401 Due to two cllrs scheduled to be on holiday during 10.02.16, **the Council resolved** to change the date of the next meeting to Monday, 8 February 2016.

402 **COUNCILLOR REPORTS AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

- A. NDP Governance. – Cllr Stuart-Davies
- B. Ethical Banking – Cllr Brown
- C. Lengthsman Weeding – Chair Howe
- D. A Frame Rope – Chair Howe

403 **DATE AND VENUE OF NEXT MEETING:** 8:00pm on 8 February 2016 at the War Memorial Village Hall, Cleeve Prior.

404 Meeting closed at 10:10pm.

Signed \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTES OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were several comments made by parishioners

- A. 4 pages too long for the Survey.
- B. Want to see impartial facts presented on Survey.
- C. Good opportunity to expand and improve parish.
- D. There should be no smaller development option because there currently isn't a developer offering this option.
- E. Survey too complicated; should be streamlined.
- F. Congratulations to T Carr for his hard work on the NDP.
- G. Concerned about divisiveness between NDP Team and CPPC.
- H. Easy to obtain planning permission for more caravans.
- I. Third option should be status quo.
- J. New Home Bonus money would come to the village if development happens.
- K. One parishioner asked why a new survey was necessary when there have been several public consultations.